



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/592,915	06/13/2000	Hideki Kikui .	040405/321	5751
22428 75	90 01/26/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			BRINEY III, WALTER F	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2646	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/592,915	KIKUI, HIDEKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Walter F. Briney III	2646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	lovember 2005.					
·— ·	·					
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
· _						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7,11,15,16,18,20 and 22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u></u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	otod.					
	ar election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \] 4) \[\sum \text{Interview Summary (PTO-413)} \]						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Application/Control Number: 09/592,915

Art Unit: 2646

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 14 November 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 1, 7, 11, 15, 16, 18, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Claims 1, 7, 11, 15, 16, 18, 20 and 22 contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, claims 1 and 16 recite in lines 5 and 6 that "said feeding circuit... converting a two-wire signal sent from the terminal." Additionally, claims 1 and 16 recite in lines 9 that "a level converter... which converts the two-wire signal sent from the terminal." However in contrast to these two limitations that suggest that the feeding circuit and the level converter convert the same signal sent from the

Application/Control Number: 09/592,915

Art Unit: 2646

terminal, applicant's disclosure suggests that during an off-hook phase, the "feeding circuit" detects a signal from the terminal while the "level converter is entirely disconnected from the terminal, which renders the "level converter" incapable of converting any signals from the terminal. See page 22, line 18, through page 23, line 1. During the two other phases—i.e. on-hook and feeding circuit disconnection—the applicant's specification discloses that the "feeding circuit" does not perform the claimed conversion. See page 23, lines 2-10, and page 24, lines 21-25. These findings indicate that the "feeding circuit" and the "level converter" never perform a conversion on the same signal. Thus, the applicant's specification does not support the above quoted limitations of the currently amended claims.

For the purposes of this action, the examiner assumes line 9 of claims 1 and 16 to recite "...group, which converts [[the]] <u>a</u> two-wire signal sent from the terminal into a second signal." Appropriate correction is still required.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 11, 15, 16, 18, 20 and 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter F. Briney III whose telephone number is 571-272-7513. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am - 4:30pm.

Art Unit: 2646

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SINH TRAN
SINH TRAN
EXAMINER

WFB